

US TRADE INVESTIGATIONS AND WTO RULES

John R. Magnus

Presentation to ABCI Institute XIII Symposium • October 17, 2017

"New" Kinds of Trade Investigations

- 232s
 - Steel and aluminum so far
 - National security focus
- 201s
 - Solar and washers so far
 - Efficient breach concept
- 301s
 - China tech so far



Could These Be WTO Cases Instead?

- 232s: nope
 - No direct WTO remedy
- 201s: nope
 - No direct WTO remedy
- 301 China tech: maybe, in part
 - and the 301 may give rise to WTO litigation



Is There A Downside to Using Trade Investigations?

232s and 201s: yes

- Complicates a cooperative approach to addressing underlying problems such as global overcapacity
- Seen as necessary anyway as that cooperative approach has not delivered results

• 301: yes

- Other govts who may agree with USG about the Chinese policies of concern might feel compelled to oppose, or keep their distance, since 301 is being used
- Companies may be reluctant to come forward with info
- Seen as necessary anyway given magnitude of concern



Should WTO Rules Be Considered in Conducting Investigations and Selecting Remedies?

- Sure, but the content of those WTO rules is not perfectly clear
- GATT XXI has been only minimally interpreted
- WTO safeguards jurisprudence is a mess
- 301 statute explicitly contemplates taking some issues out of the WTO sphere and into the bilateral sphere (US interests can sometimes dictate departing from WTO rules)



Is There a Problem With WTO Dispute Settlement?

- Yes
- And that problem is especially stark in cases involving review of US trade investigations
- This makes it difficult to navigate, during US trade investigations, based on what result WTO dispute settlement might yield
- USG seems quite serious now about forcing attention to, and acknowledgement of, this problem. Current leverage point: AB seats.

